

## **Submission For The TPA Review Committee**

### 1) To Implement an Arbitration System to Consider Complaints:

The Industrial Commission Arbitration system should be copied to handle TPA complaints. A compulsory meeting is called with the arbitrator having the ability to identify the issues relating to the complaint and assist the parties to come to some form of resolution.

### 2) Fines:

If a matter proceeds to trial and the offending party is found guilty, a fine should be imposed on this entity to ensure that this form of deceptive behaviour will be addressed in future. For Corporate's the fine should be such that it will affect share prices and would cause the shareholders concern over the type of Directors they place on the board. Perhaps a fine equivalent to five times the settlement decided by the Judge may be appropriate. This will also assist with the suggestion listed in 3).

### 3) Government Funding

In order to balance the power, small business people need to access the law. For example, in my case I have been totally reliant on family and friends to assist me in financially supporting my action. Many others would not be able to pursue Justice due to its horrendous costs and the fact that the perpetrator of the TPA has left them in financial ruins. Naturally, all applicants would be subjected to a means test. The Fines listed in 2) would help fund the assistance given by the government.

In most cases today, the Corporate's use a strategy of delaying the legal process in order to burn the other side out and or spend fortunes searching for technicalities that will knock out the plaintiff. This is a common practise for most that have unlimited funds available. In order for law to represent Justice, perpetrators must not be given any options other then to be held accountable for their breaches of the law in a quick and reasonable way. Once again I will reflect on my case and give you an indication of what some Corporate's may do to avoid being held accountable.

Laurence Pace